

Application No. 09/740,209

REMARKS

In an Office Action dated February 5, 2003, Applicant's reply of November 21, 2002 was deemed not fully responsive because Applicant did not respond to a 103 rejection of claim 6. In response, Applicant has amended claim 6 to depend on claim 1. Claim 1 was amended in the Office Action of November 21, 2002 and reasons were provided in that Office Action for the allowance of claim 1.

In view of the preceding amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as amended are allowable over the cited prior art reference, and allowance at Examiner's earliest convenience is hereby respectfully requested. In the event that the Examiner believes a teleconference would facilitate prosecution, Applicant respectfully requests that Examiner contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Kent Chen
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 39,630
(310) 333-3663
March 7, 2003

Application No. 09/740,209

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE:

IN THE CLAIMS

6. (Amended) The [An] apparatus of claim 1 further [for delivering a pharmaceutical product] comprising:

[a driver element to generate acoustic energy;
an acoustic lens to focus the acoustic energy generated by the driver;
a delivery system to maintain the pharmaceutical product in a position to receive the acoustic energy from the acoustic lens and cause ejection of a droplet of pharmaceutical product;]
a portable energy source to provide energy to the driver element;
a second driver element coupled to the portable energy source; and
a second acoustic lens to focus the energy generated by the second driver element, acoustic energy from the second acoustic lens to cause ejection of a second droplet of pharmaceutical product.